

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2004

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/647,461 PAT 729-2 US 08/26/2003 Robert Joseph Foster 2319 **EXAMINER** 26123 7590 09/15/2004 **BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP** CRANE, DANIEL C WORLD EXCHANGE PLAZA 100 QUEEN STREET SUITE 1100 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER OTTAWA, ON KIP 1J9 3725 **CANADA**

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | 10 | |
|--|---|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| Office Action Summary | 10/647,461 | FOSTER, ROBERT JOSEPH | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Daniel C Crane | 3725 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet wi | th the correspondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thin eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AE | reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | • | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _ | | | |
| , | This action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for all | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice und | ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D | i. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application | on. | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with | ndrawn from consideration. | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | nd/or alastian requirement | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a | na/or election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exar | | | |
| , | accepted or b) □ objected to | • | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co | | | |
| The dain of declaration is objected to by the | e Examiner. Note the attached | Johnson Grider To | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for | eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority docum | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docum | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the | • | received in this National Stage | |
| application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a | | received | |
| See the attached detailed Office action for a | inst of the certified copies not | received. | |
| Attachment(s) | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | Summary (PTO-413) | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 | 7 | s)/Mail Date. | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/26/2003</u>. | B/08) 5) 1 Notice of 1 | nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) | |

BASIS FOR REJECTIONS

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

REJECTION OF CLAIMS OVER PRIOR ART

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Baker (3,410,126). Figures 1 and 2 show two orthogonally positioned roller sets 15, 16, each roller set including at least two pairs of opposing rollers 45, 53. The rolls are driven by motor 17. The rolls are adjustable by threaded screws 66. Baker's tube straightening apparatus is directed to machines that have two groups of rolls where "one of the groups of rolls has the axes of the rolls horizontal while the other group of rolls has the axes of the rolls vertical so as to *insure* straightness of the tube or rod in two different planes. The last roll in each plane bends the material to its final degree of straightness." (Emphasis added, column 1, lines 26-31). As to claim 4, the resultant straightness of the tubing 65 will inherently facilitate "torsional applications". As to claim 5 and 6, the size of the roller relative to the work piece, i.e., tubing, is

not definitive of the roller structure since the work piece forms no part of the roller. Clearly, the size of the roller as it relates to the tubing size is limited by the operator's selection. Since the last roll in each plane bend the tubing to its "final" straightness, the tubing is "fully yielded" in each of the planes.

Claim 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Redman (4,663,955) in view of Baker (3,410,126). Redman shows it to be conventional in the art to straighten tubing by feeding tubing from a coil C to two orthogonally positioned roller sets 14, 20. It would have been obvious to the skilled artisan at the time of the invention to have modified Redman's straightener's 14, 20 by arranging the roll sets so as to impart a final straightness to each plane of the tubing using the concepts taught by Baker so as to give the tubing its final straightness.

PRIOR ART CITED BY EXAMINER

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

RESPONSE BY APPLICANT(S)

Applicant(s) response to be fully responsive and to provide for a clear record must specifically point out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references, both those references applied in the objections and rejections and those references cited in view of the state of the art in accordance with 37 CFR 1.111 (a), (b) and (c).

Application/Control Number: 10/647,461 Page 4

Art Unit: 3725

INQUIRIES

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Examiner D. Crane whose telephone number is (703) 308-1870.

The examiner's office hours are 6:30AM-5:00PM, Tuesday through Friday. The examiner's

supervisor, Mr. Allen Ostrager, can be reached at (703) 308-3136.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Documents related to the instant application may be submitted directly to Group 3700 by

facsimile transmission at all times. Applicant(s) is(are) reminded to clearly mark any

transmission as "DRAFT" if it is not to be considered as an official response. The Group 3725

Facsimile Center number is (703) 872-9306.

DCCrane

September 13, 2004

Daniel C. Crane

Primary Patent Examiner

Group Art Unit 3725